NIR DETERMINATION OF THE MORPHOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF RYE GRASS

Leconte D.[1], Dardenne P.[3], Clément C.[2] and Lecomte Ph.[2]

Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique,

[1]Domaine Expérimental Fourrager du Vieux Pin, F-61310 Le Pin au Haras (France)

Centre de Recherches Agronomiques de Gembloux,

[2]Department of Animal Production and Farming Systems, B-6800 Libramont (Belgium)

[3]Department for the Quality of Agricultural Production, B-5030 Gembloux, (Belgium)

Keywords : Rye Grass, NIR, grazing pasture, swards, sheaths, stems, blades.

SUMMARY

In grazing pastures the structure of the grass cover plays an important role in determining the extent to which the grass is grazed by animals. The daily intake by ruminants is influenced not only by the quality of the grass but also by morphological characteristics, such as the exposed surface of leaves. Optimal grazing of a pasture will depend on the presence of a raised and easily accessible biomass of green leaves and a limited amount of sheaths or senescent organs. Rapidly determining the morphological characteristics of grass cover, however, is difficult, and involves slow and tedious manual sorting. It seemed appropriate, having a database on the proportions of blade stems and senescent organs evaluated by manual sorting, to investigate the use of near-infrared (NIR) for rapidly determining the proportions of plant parts in whole plant samples. A

calibration to estimate the proportion of the main vegetative parts of a plant was established, based on the proportions of plant parts – leaves/stem and sheaths/senescent organs – estimated during 250 sortings of rye grass (Lolium perenne).

INTRODUCTION

The grass biomass produced in grazing swards does not have a uniform feeding value. Phenological stage, time of year and botanical composition modify the energy and protein value of the forage, as well as its rumen filling value and hence the intake capacity of the ruminants. In grazing pastures the structure of the grass cover plays an important part in determining the extent to which the grass is grazed by animals. The daily intake by ruminants is influenced not only by the quality of the grass but also by morphological characteristics, such as the exposed surface of leaves.

The height and volume of grazed horizons modify the speed of intake, during growth, the proportions of a plant accounted for by the stem and leaves vary according to a gradient that operates between the low and high parts of the plant.[1] The role of leaf sheaths in restricting intake has been highlighted in several studies.[2] In a grazed pasture the presence of a high quantity of easily accessible leaf blades and a low quantity of sheaths clearly results in increased intake and a reduction in the residual height of the grass.

Optimal grazing of a pasture will depend on the presence of a raised and easily accessible biomass of green leaves and a limited amount of sheaths or senescent organs.[1] To this end, good leaf blade production and the efficient use of nitrogen for leaf blade growth have been introduced into grass cultivar selection.[3] Nevertheless, rapidly determining the morphological characteristics of grass cover is difficult, and involves slow and tedious manual sorting. It seemed appropriate, having a database on the proportions of blade stems and senescent organs evaluated by manual sorting, to investigate the use of near-infrared (NIR) for rapidly determining the proportions of plant parts in whole plant samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A calibration to estimate the proportion of the main vegetative parts of a plant was established, based on the proportions of the different plant parts – leaves/stem and sheaths/senescent organs – estimated during 250 sortings of rye grass (Lolium perenne) cultivars. The cultivars had different heading dates and had been fertilised at different levels of intensity.

Sampling rye grass varieties

From November 1996 to October 1997, 16 varieties of rye grass (11 diploid and 5 tetraploid) representing a wide range of earliness (index 53 to 63) and of fertiliser use were sampled at regrowth, the duration of which varied from 2 to 9 weeks. The samples were collected by mowing the grass close to the ground, using a micromower on a surface of 0.12 sq.m (1.2 m x 0.1 m).

Fresh or defrosted samples of about 100g of green matter were morphologically sorted. Each sorted subsample was weighted green, then dried at 65°C (48 h) and weighted again when dry. The sheath/leaf separation was done by cutting close to the auricle (ligule). The green blade part was separated from the dried or ageing parts; these senescent blades were added to dead sheaths to constitute the "senescent organs" fraction of the plant. After calculating the plant part proportions, the sorted dry samples were reconstituted and then ground using a Gondard mill (1 mm mesh). The results of the sortings were expressed as a percentage of the dry matter, and the quantity of leaves (kg DM ha-1) arising from the harvested biomass was multiplied by the green leaves percentage.

NIR treatment

For each of the dried and ground samples the NIR reflection was measured, twice, between 1100 and 2500 nm (in 2 nm steps), using a NIRSYSTEM 5000 monochromator and placing 10g of the powder of the whole plant sample in a quartz window cup (diameter 35 mm, height 10 mm). This method is used routinely by the laboratory of Libramont.[4] The data were processed using the Partial Least Square (PLS) regression technique, with the help of InfraSoft International (ISI) software.[5]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main characteristics of the rye grass samples are described in table l. The statistical parameters of the PLS models are given in table 2. Figures 1, 2 and 3 compare the NIR predicted with the reference value for the blades, sheaths and senescent parts of the plants.

The description of the rye grass samples (table 1) shows the important variability of the morphological composition evident from manual sortings. Starting from the leafy stage to the heading the diminution of the blades proportion varied on average from 89,0 to 42,0% DM, while the stems and sheaths progressed from 6,1 to 60,1% DM. With delayed cutting rhythms, due mainly to heavy rust (Puccinia graminis) infestation, the senescent organs exceeded 40%DM.

The NIR technique enables one to predict proportions of green blades, stems and sheaths, and dying organs with cross validation errors of 4.18, 3.85 and 2.88, respectively. For vegetation conditions as varied as those described in table 1, this degree of precision is entirely satisfactory. Furthermore, the determination coefficients in calibration reached 0,96 to 0,97 and stabilised between 0,94 and 0,95 in validation. There appears to be a negative relationship between blades and residual sheaths (r = -0,62) that does not occur with senescent organs.

CONCLUSIONS

Because of it's quickness and low cost the NIR technique could be a very interesting tool to study the morphological traits of a sward vegetation. It could allow dynamic studies of the evolution of the structure of the sward under grazing. Development should be undertaken to extent the database with pure or mixed samples of other species, particularly with dycotyledons like clover a main component of mixed swards.

REFERENCES

1. S. Prache, J-L. Peyraud, Productions Animales, **10**, 377-390 (1997).
2. Astigarraga and Peyraud, *in Proc. of the 4th International on the Nutrition of Herbivores*, 126 (1995).
3. P-W.Wilkins, J-H. Macduff, L-R. Mytton, *in Proc. of the 21st Meeting of Eucarpia, Kartause Ittingen (Switzerland)*, pp.41-44 (1997).
4. P. Lecomte, C. Clement and P. Dardenne, *Fourrages* **148**, 379-388 (1996).
5. J. Shenk and M. Westerhaus, *Crop Science* **31**, 469-474 (1991).
6. M. Duru, *Fourrages* **149**, 55-67 (1997).
7. D. Leconte, *Importance de la hauteur de coupe et du rythme de défoliation sur la repousse de Lolium perenne*. Thèse de Doctorat, Caen, Fr (1985).
8. G. Lemaire, *Fourrages* **112**, 325-344 (1987).
9. G. Thierry, *Utilisation pratique de l'herbomètre*. Mémoire BTSPA, Ferme expérimentale de Blanche Maison, Fr (1994).
10. E.N. Van Loo, *On the relation between tillering, leaf area dynamics and growth of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.)*. Doctoral Thesis, Agricultural University, Wageningen, Nl (1997).
11. M. Welter, X. Le Bris, *L'extensification en production fourragère*, AFPF (1992).
12. Hazard and Ghesquière, *Fourrages* **154**, 159-171 (1998)
13. P. Dardenne, *Contribution à l'utilisation de la spectrométrie dans le proche infrarouge pour l'étude de critères de qualité des céréales et des fourrages*. Thèse de doctorat, Gembloux, Belgium (1990).







Figures 1, 2 and 3. NIR predicted *vs* reference value for the blades, sheaths and senescent percentages.

Table 1. General description of the rye grass sample series

Date	min. N kg ha^{-1}	Cycle -year	Stage	Head. Index	Prod. t.DM ha^{-1}	Blades % DM	Sheaths % DM	Sen. organs % DM	Blade prod. t.DM ha^{-1}
11/96	50	3-A0	leaf	62	1.65	89.0	11.0	0.0	1.47
11/96	0	5-A2	leaf	62	3.03	52.8	10.2	37.0	1.60
04/97	0-40	1-A1	leaf	53 – 62	2.61	85.2	12.1	2.7	2.22
05/97	50	1-A1	leaf	61 – 63	2.85	69.1	30.2	0.7	1.97
"	50	1-A1	head.	61 – 63	3.95	57.7	38.7	3.6	2.28
"	50	1-A1	head.	61 – 63	5.56	48.3	45.5	6.2	2.69
06/97	0	1-A1	head.	53	2.79	28.8	60.1	11.1	0.80
"	0	1-A1	head.	62	3.01	60.4	27.7	11.9	1.82
"	30	1-A1	head.	62	3.11	42.8	46.1	11.1	1.33
"	0	2-A1	head.	53	1.95	54.7	46.7	8.6	1.07
"	0	2-A1	head.	62	1.81	68.8	23.8	7.4	1.25
"	30	2-A1	head.	62	2.52	52.5	43.0	4.5	1.32
"	50	2-A1	leaf	61 – 63	0.92	79.3	20.7	0.0	0.73
"	50	2-A1	leaf	61 – 63	1.31	68.2	31.8	0.0	0.83
"	50	2-A1	leaf	61 – 63	1.92	61.6	38.4	0.0	1.18
"	40	3-A1	leaf	53	0.77	76.8	19.9	3.3	0.59
07/97	50	3-A1	leaf	61 – 63	2.86	70.6	24.1	5.3	2.02
09/97	50	4-A1	leaf	61 – 63	2.15	58.8	15.6	25.6	1.26
"	0	5-A1	leaf	53 – 62	1.50	51.0	6.1	42.9	0.76
"	45	5-A1	leaf	53 – 62	2.15	57.0	13.4	29.6	1.23
10/97	50	3-A0	leaf	54	0.83	55.3	44.7	0.0	0.46

Table 2. Statistical parameters of the calibrations of morphological traits

Variable	Nb	Min.	Max.	mean	SEc	R^2c	SEcv	R^2cv
Green blades	243	9.4	94.9	66.4	3.47	0.96	4.18	0.94
Green sheaths and stems	243	1.5	90.6	25.9	3.06	0.97	3.85	0.95
Senescent parts	243	0.4	54.1	7.7	2.35	0.97	2.88	0.95