Selectivity list of plant protection products on beneficial arthropods in potato

Jansen, J.-P.

¹Walloon Agricultural Research Centre, Plant Protection and Ecotoxicology unit, Chemin de Liroux, 2, 5030 Gembloux, Belgium

Abstract: Beneficial arthropods, as aphid predators and parasites, are the key of an effective aphid control in potato. However, these insects are exposed to plant protection products applied during the season, especially fungicides used to control the late blight and insecticides used to control aphids and the Colorado beetle. The conservation of natural enemies' population by the use of products that are selective for them is required in the context of IPM.

From 1996 to 2002, a research program has been initiated in order to develop tools to establish pesticide selectivity lists. These tools were first used to build pesticide selectivity list in Potato (2004) and field produced vegetables (2006). The list used in potato are based on ecotoxicology trials performed on the main aphid natural enemy found in potato in Belgium: the parasitic wasp *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* (De Stefani-Perez) (Hym.; Aphidiidae) and larvae of the predators *Adalia bipunctata* L. (Col.; Coccinellidae) and *Episyrphus balteatus* (Degeer) (Dipt.; Syrphidae). The results are crossed with the phenology of these beneficial to deliver a selectivy list easy to use to the farmers, with products rated from green (selective) to red (non-selective) by period of application.

The lists are diffused to the farmers yearly by the organisms in charge of the advisory systems and also used for several guidance document (IPM, specific labels, etc...). They are regularly update to include all changes in the list of product available, as compounds that are newly registered and old one that are removed. The last update (2012) is presented in this poster, with a short presentation of the methodology.

Key words: Selectivity list, Aphidiidae, ladybird, hoverfly, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi, Adalia bipunctata, Episyrphus balteatus*, potato, insecticide, fungicide, potato aphids, plant protection products

Introduction

Non selective pesticides are known to often increase pest problems instead of controlling them. The suppression of beneficial arthropods often lead to pest outbreaks and secondary pest development, (Ripper, 1956; Pimentel, 1961; Besemer, 1964; Adams & Drew, 1965; Nanne & Radcliffe, 1971; Vickerman & Sunderland, 1977; Brown, 1978; Shires, 1985; Sotherton *et al.*, 1987; Sotherton & Moreby, 1988; Borgemeister & Poehling, 1989; Croft & Slone, 1998, Lagnaoui & Radcliffe, 1998).

Aphids and Colorado beetle are the main insect pest problems encountered in ware potato in Belgium. If Colorado beetle can only be controlled by insecticides, aphids are most of the times regulated by natural enemies, as parasitic hymenoptera, mainly Aphidiidae and aphidophagous predators, as hoverflies, ladybirds and in a lesser extend lacewings (Jansen, 2002; Jansen & Warnier, 2004; Jansen, 2005). No insecticides are recommended in these situations and during the last 20 years, insecticides to control aphids were needed in potato on about 1 field out of 8.

In order to maintain this biological control, the use of PPP selective for beneficial arthropods was recommended. A first document called "selectivity list", that gave all relevant

info to the farmers was established in 2005 (Hautier *et al.*, 2006). These lists were updated every 2-3 years to add new registered compound or delete old product that were removed from the market. The lists updated in 2012 are presented below.

Material and methods

Selectivity were build on pesticides acute toxicity towards 3 selected natural enemies, considered as key beneficial arthropods for aphid control: a parasitic hymenoptera species (*Aphidius rhopalosiphi*) De Stefani-Perez (Hym.; Aphidiidae) a ladybird (*Adalia bipunctata* (L.) (Col.; Coccinellidae) and a syrphid [*Episyrphus balteatus* (De Geer) (Dipt.; Syrphidae)]. The hymenoptera was tested as adult and both predators as larvae. The products were first tested on glass plates and then, for product that were not harmless, on plants. According to the toxicity and the occurrence of these different beneficial groups observed in potatoes, the lists were established, rating products as harmless, slightly harmful, moderately harmful or harmful. The details of the test methods are given in a previous publication (Hautier *et al.*, 2006). Compared to the lists published in 2006, 5 new fungicides or fungicides associations (Azoxystrobine, Benthiavalicarb + Mancozeb, Boscalid + Pyraclostrobine, Fluopicolide + propamocarb, Mandipropamide) and 10 insecticides (Acetamiprid, Beta-cyfluthrin, Flonicamide, Natural pyrethrin extracts + PBO and + rapeseed oil, Rynaxypyr, Spinosad, Tau-fluvalinate, Thiacloprid and Thiametoxam) were added.

Results and discussion

The final results of the toxicity test and the rating for the different periods established on basis of the occurrence of the beneficial are listed in Table 1 (fungicides) and 2 (insecticides). These results are including both products previously tested and new products, indicated in bold.

Most of the fungicides used in potato were harmless for all beneficial tested and rated as harmless for all the growing season. The results obtained with insecticides were however more diversified with products belonging to the four categories. Several new compounds, including all neonicotinoid products (acetamiprid, Thiacloprid and Thiametoxam) were harmful at all periods, while other ones (e.g. Spinosad, Flonicamid, Rynaxypyr) were harmless, at least at one of the two main activity period of beneficial identified.

The consequence of these new records is that now harmless products are now available both for aphid (flonicamid, pymetrozine) and for Colorado beetle control (Spinosad, Rynaxypyr).

Conclusions

The results obtained in this study show that it is possible to control pest and disease with products that are selective towards the main aphid natural enemies, during all the periods where these beneficial insects are active in the field. Fungicide applications for late blight control are not a problem for selectivity and it is possible, by avoiding the use of several insecticides at specific periods, to maintain aphid natural enemy activity. These selectivity lists can help the farmers to choose the product to spray; and they can also complete the information given by potato advisory systems for aphid control.

	Periods				
	III (1-31/07)				
	I (-10/06) No exposure	II (10-30/06) Aphidius tests	Episyrphus + Adalia	IV (1/08) No exposure	
	no exposure		tests		
Azoxystrobine	1	1	1	1	
Benalaxyl + Mancozeb	1	1	1	1	
Benthivalicarb + Mancozeb	1	1	1	1	
Boscalid + Pyraclostrobine	1	1	1	1	
Chlorothalonil	1	1	1	1	
Chlorothalonil + Propamocarb	1	1	1	1	
Copper hydroxide	1	1	1	1	
Copper oxychlorid	1	1	1	1	
Copper sulfate	1	1	1	1	
Cyazofamide	1	1	1	1	
Cymoxanil + Famoxadone	1	1	1	1	
Cymoxanil + Mancozeb	1	1	1	1	
Cymoxanil + Metiram	1	1	1	1	
Cymoxanil + Propamocarb	1	1	1	1	
Dimetomorph + Mancozeb	1	1	1	1	
Fenamidone+ Mancozeb	1	Х	1	1	
Fluazinam	1	1	1	1	
Fluopicolide + propamocarb	1	1	1	1	
Mancozeb	1	1	1	1	
Mancozeb + Zoxamide	1	1	1	1	
Mandipropamid	1	1	1	1	
Maneb	1	1	1	1	
Metalaxyl-M + Fluazinam	Х	1	1	Х	
Metalaxyl-M + Mancozeb	Х	1	1	Х	

Table 1. Selectivity lists of fungicides used in potato according to their toxicity towards main aphid natural enemies. 1 - Harmless, 2 - slightly harmful, 3 - moderately harmful, 4 - harmful, X - not registered at this period. New products are in bold.

	Periods				
	I (-10/06) No exposure	II (10-30/06) Aphidius tests	III (1-31/07) Episyrphus + Adalia tests	IV (1/08) No exposure	
Acetamiprid	-	4	3	-	
Alpha-cypermethrin	-	2	2	-	
Beta-cyfluthrin	-	2	4	-	
Cypermethrin	-	1	4	-	
Deltamethrin	-	3	4	-	
Esfenvalerate	-	1	2	-	
Flonicamide	-	1	1	-	
Lambda-Cyhalothrin	-	1	2	-	
Lambda-cyhalothrin + Pirimicarb	-	1	4	-	
Pirimicarb	-	1	2	-	
Pymetrozin	-	1	1	-	
Pyrethrins (+ PBO)	-	4	3	-	
Pyrethrins (+rapeseed oil)	-	4	3	-	
Rynaxypyr	-	1	1	-	
Spinosad	-	3	1	-	
Tau-Fluvalinate	-	1	2	-	
Thiacloprid	-	4	4	-	
Thiametoxam	-	4	4	-	
Zeta-cypermethrin	-	1	2	-	

Table 2. Selectivity lists of insecticides used in potato according to their toxicity towards main aphid natural enemies. 1 - Harmless, 2 - slightly harmful, 3 - moderately harmful, 4 - harmful, X - not registered at this period. New products are in bold.

References

- Adams, J. B. & Drew, M. E. 1965: Grain aphids in New Brunswick. III. Aphid populations in herbicide-treated oat fields. Canadian Journal of Zoology 43:789-794.
- Besemer, A. F. 1964: The available data on the effect of spray chemicals on useful arthropods in orchards. Entomophaga 9: 263-269.
- Borgemeister, C. & Poehling, H.-M. 1989: The impact of insecticide treatments on the population dynamics of cereal aphids and their parasitoids. IOBC-WPRS Bulletin 1989/XII/1: 122-132.
- Brown, A. W. 1978: Insecticides and the arthropod fauna of plant communities. In "Ecology of pesticides": 28-62. John Wiley & Sons, New-York, USA.
- Croft, B. A. & Slone, D. H. 1998: Perturbation of regulated apple mites: Immigration and pesticide effects on outbreaks of *Panonychus ulmi* and associated mites (Acari: Tetranychidae, Eryophidae, Phytoseiidae and Stigmatidae). Environmental Entomology 27: 1548-1556.
- Hautier, L., Jansen, J.-P., Mabon, N., Schiffers, B., Deleu, R. & Moreira, C. 2006: Building selectivity list of plant protection products on beneficial arthropods in open field: a concrete example with potato crop. IOBC-WPRS Bulletin 29(10): 21-32.
- Jansen, J.-P. 2002: Pucerons et auxiliaires de lutte en pomme de terre de consommation: synthèse des observations réalisées entre 1994 et 2001 en Belgique. 2ème conférence internationale sur les moyens alternatifs de lutte contre les organismes nuisibles aux végétaux, Lille 4, 5, 6 et 7 mars, 2002.
- Jansen, J.-P. & Warnier, A.-M. 2004: Aphid specific predators in potato in Belgium. Comm. Appl. Biol. Sci., Ghent University 69/3: 151-156
- Jansen, J-P. 2005a. Aphid parasitoid complex in potato in Belgium in the context of IPM. Comm. Appl. Biol. Sci., Ghent University, 70/4, 2005: 539-546
- Lagnaoui, A. & Radcliffe, E. B. 1998: Potato fungicides interfere with entomopathogenic fungi impacting population dynamics of green peach aphid. American Potato Journal 75: 19-25.
- Nanne, H. W. & Radcliffe, E. B. 1971: Green peach aphid populations on potatoes enhanced by fungicides. Journal of Economic Entomology 64: 1569-1570.
- Pimentel, D. 1961: An ecological approach to the insecticide problem. Journal of Economic Entomology 54: 108-114.
- Ripper, W. E. 1956: Effect of pesticides on balance of arthropod populations. Annual Review of Entomology 1: 403-438.
- Shires, S. W. 1985: Effects of aerial applications of cypermethrin and demeton-s-methyl on nontarget artrhopods. Ecotoxicolgoy and Environmental Safety 10: 1-11.
- Sotherton, N. W., Moreby, S. J. & Langley, M. G. 1987: The effects of the foliar fungicide pyrazophos on beneficial arthropods in barley fields. Annals of Applied Biology 111: 75-87.
- Sotherton, N. W. & Moreby, S. J. 1988: The effects of foliar fungicides on beneficial arthropods in wheat fields. Entomophaga 33:87-99.
- Vickerman, G. P. & Sunderland, K. D. 1977: Some effects of dimethoate on arthropods in winter wheat. Journal of Applied Ecology 14: 767-777.